Looking back on Looy's 2013 contribution, I see an example of how we, as Christians, can use all the tools in our epistemological toolbelt to leverage the contributions of science and theology humbly and confidently for the benefit of our neighbor and our world.

Erin I. Smith, California Baptist University, Riverside, California.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.56315/PSCF9-23Kaita

2014

OWEN GINGERICH, "Do the Heavens Declare the Glory of God?," *PSCF* 66, no. 2 (2014): 113–17.

A scientist once mentioned to me that he didn't want to tell his young son that God created the universe. If he did so, the scientist explained, it would take away the awe and wonder he wanted his child to feel. I was taken aback when I heard this. I have given many talks where I showed beautiful images from space, motivated in part by my role—albeit modest—on the Voyager spacecraft sent to the far reaches of the solar system. I always assumed that they are a wonderful illustration of God's creation, never considering the possibility that anyone could come to the diametrically opposite conclusion.

My experience made me think more carefully about what the psalmist meant when he wrote, "The heavens declare the glory of God." Owen Gingerich frames the passage in the form of a question in the title of his *Perspectives on Science and Christian Faith* essay, and I immediately sensed that Gingerich appreciated my challenge by its very first lines. "[A] congregation would be shocked if [Gingerich] simply said 'yes' and sat down. On the other hand, [they] would all be even more stunned if [he] said, 'No, the heavens do not declare the glory of God,' and sat down. So, [he thinks] you can safely deduce that there is something more to be said about the psalmist's ancient declaration."

Gingerich begins by reminding us that our predecessors did not see the universe as we do. From reckonings made in the sixteenth century, the sun was estimated to be much closer than it actually is. The "shell of stars" just beyond that encloses our solar system is impressive, but God, to quote Gingerich, was "not so far away." We now know that our universe stretches to a horizon nearly 14 billion light years away. Such a vast distance would have been inconceivable to the psalmist. Perhaps only modern science then, and not the faith of the ancients, can let us appreciate how truly awesome our universe is.

Not so fast, Gingerich warns us. Modern science also tells us what we need for our existence. For example, carbon and oxygen are the building blocks of life as we know it. The so-called energy levels in the carbon nucleus, however, are just right for oxygen to be formed in stars and end up on Earth. Similarly, physical constants also have be constrained within very tight limits for life to exist in our universe. To a physicist like me, such details are as awe-inspiring as the starry skies in displaying what God has done.

Fred Hoyle, the famous cosmologist and "public skeptic" as Gingerich calls him, writes, "There are very many skeptics of the universe where you either have to say there have been monstrous coincidences, where there might have been, or, alternatively, there is a purposive scenario which the universe confirms" (*The Origin of the Universe and the Origin of Religion* [Wakefield, RI: Moyer Bell, 1993], 83). Unlike Hoyle, Gingerich asserts that he isn't "sitting on the fence" when it comes to purpose behind the universe. He concludes by simply saying that "the sheer beauty of the heavens declares the glory of God!" I still lecture on how the majesty of God's universe reflects this, but thanks to Gingerich's essay, with a richer and more humble understanding of why.

Robert Kaita, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.56315/PSCF9-23vanderMeer

2018

ALAN DICKIN, "New Historical and Geological Constraints on the Date of Noah's Flood," *PSCF* 70, no. 3 (2018): 176–93.

Alan Dickin's article about Noah's flood filled in the last opening of a puzzle for me. I have viewed this flood as a local one for a long time. But there was a problem. If it was local, why are flood stories found globally? Alan explained this convincingly. Briefly, there was a flooding of the Euphrates River brought about by a combination of a rising sea level

Article

Twenty-Five ASA Fellows and Editors Tell of PSCF Articles That Changed Their Lives

in the southeast and excessive rain in the northwest (southeast Turkey), the location of the head waters of the Euphrates River. The rising sea level was due to snow melt after the last glacial period. It backed up into the Euphrates from the southeast. Excessive rain fall produced massive flow from the northwest down river. The flood occurred where the two effects met.

The point is that this is a common phenomenon around the world. That explained why flood stories are found globally.

Jitse M. van der Meer, Redeemer University College, Ancaster, Ontario, Canada.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.56315/PSCF9-23Jordan

2018, 2021

WALTER BRADLEY, "The Fine Tuning of the Universe: Evidence for the Existence of God?," *PSCF* 70, no. 3 (2018): 147-60; and TERRY GRAY, "Pronuclear Environmentalists: An Introduction to Ecomodernism," *PSCF* 73, no. 4 (2021): 195-201.

I have been around the ASA for more than 40 years. I have found the journal to be a very important part of my spiritual and academic walk. The journal has helped me to refine my thinking: sometimes changing it and sometimes reinforcing it.

Two examples illustrate this. The first one is Walter Bradley's article in September 2018, entitled "The Fine Tuning of the Universe: Evidence for the Existence of God?" I largely came to Christian faith through apologetics. This article reminded me again of why I became a Christian. It was encouraging to read of newer developments in this area that was so important to my coming to faith.

Among more recent articles, the one by Terry Gray in December 2021 is particularly important. I have taught engineering ethics for more than thirty years. During the last ten years of my academic career, I have become very interested in sustainable engineering. This article deals with both topics. It is an interesting discussion of how some people who are concerned about the environment have come around to the conclusion that nuclear power may be acceptable after all. It is clear from this discussion that Gray (and myself) tend to be technological optimists, believing that many of our environmental problems can be helped through the appropriate use of technology. I heartily agree with his conclusion:

When scientists, engineers and technologists use their minds, and the resources found in creation, to accomplish good, it is to the glory of God and to the furthering of his kingdom. Ecomodernists point to a great Anthropocene as the eschatological goal. Christians point to a different eschatological goal brought about by the Second Coming of Christ. Nonetheless, there is overlap between the two, and Christians can partner with ecomodernists to do the work God is calling us to do. (p. 199)

William Jordan, Baylor University, Waco, Texas.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.56315/PSCF9-23Wilcox

2021

ROY CLOUSER, "Three Theological Arguments in Support of Carol Hill's Reading of the Historicity of Genesis and Original Sin," *PSCF* 73, no. 3 (2021): 145–51.

I want to highlight Roy Clouser's paper as providing key insights toward solving a major impasse between earth and heaven, science and faith—the nature of Eden.

As Christians who are scientists, we tend to think of the major story of reality as creation—God's providential control of the evolutionary process, the eons-long struggle between competing individuals and cooperative groups, culminating in human societies. Creation within an entropic universe builds complexity through the negentropic collection of energy, even though guided by God's providence. Human intelligence develops "in the flesh," rational and social, "completed" through multiple inflection points in the hominin line as competition (contest) is displaced by cooperation (love).

But as Christians who are theologians, we tend to think of the major story of reality as redemption – through Jesus, God reclaiming and reconciling fallen sinners, societies, and all of creation, ending in the new Jerusalem, in the new heavens, and new earth. As Paul sees it, creation is bound up in resurrection. All creation is from and for the *Telos*, the New Jerusalem. In eternity's endless moment, planning and action are simultaneous, and thus creation unfolds backwards through time, from the future