



## In This Issue

James K. A. Smith argues that science is always pursued by humans from a cultural perspective because anything human beings do is from a cultural perspective. This reminds us that our science pursuits can become entangled with false beliefs. What is claimed as a finding of science is not automatically a trump card.

In the next article, Robert Bishop describes, in an incisive history, the telling example of materialism creeping into the scientific endeavor. For Bishop, the *methodological* naturalism of the long-practiced scientific method should be quite distinct from the *metaphysical* naturalism that some have claimed rather vociferously of late. Confusing science with a metaphysical claim against God's reality and presence is an accretion of a materialist culture. Such is not entailed by scientific method properly understood and carried out.

In the following article, René van Woudenberg specifically delineates some of the limits to what science can describe. A great strength of the scientific method is in recognizing what it does not achieve,

as well as what it does. Science does not investigate or represent all that we know. Science is good at what it does, but due humility and accuracy require that we also recognize what it does not do.

In that honest context, Kathryn Applegate has found methodological naturalism to be an effective tool to understand much of God's creation. Applegate advocates that practicing science from the perspective of methodological naturalism, properly understood, is not anti-God, as some have charged. She appreciates as well that methodological naturalism offers a culture of cooperation and correction that helps people to work together across cultural divides.

Walter Bradley then gives us an example of directing the powerful tools of science and engineering to the service of the poor—a sterling use.

As always, ongoing discussion is crucial for potential insight and correction. In letters to the editor, Edwin Yamauchi and Kenell Touryan suggest an earlier date for the birth of Jesus than the one advocated by James Nollet in our December issue. Those letters are preceded by the always appreciated review of the latest books.

James C. Peterson, *Editor*

✠

## Reviewers in 2012

*We wish to thank the following scholars for their crucial service in anonymous peer review.*

Thomas Ackerman  
Jon Cawley  
Chris Dahm  
Edward Davis  
Jack Davis  
Paul Evans  
Michael Everest  
Paul Fayter  
Rebecca Flietstra  
Patrick Franklin  
Owen Gingerich  
Brian Greuel  
Hans Halvorson

Allan Harvey  
D. Gareth Jones  
Christopher Kaiser  
Michael Keas  
Joanna Klein  
Arie Leegwater  
H. Newton Malony  
Keri McFarlane  
Sara Miles  
Alan Millard  
Alan Padgett  
Donald Petcher  
Alvin Plantinga

D. B. Poli  
Del Ratzsch  
Richard Schaeffer  
Aaron Sherwood  
Arnold Sikkema  
James Sire  
Judith Toronchuk  
Michael Valco  
Christine VanPool  
Dennis Venema  
José Young  
John Walton

✠