
This book puts forward the idea that thrift and generosity produce large returns, one of which is joy. Thrift is part of a spiritual and cultural understanding of how time, talents, and resources are used. Thrifty people make careful, thoughtful, wise decisions about how to expend their resources. Generosity is sharing what you have with other people, especially the needy. Thrift can provide the means to practice generosity. The author illustrates these two virtues with quotes from the Bible, literature, philosophy, and daily life.

Templeton includes many trenchant quotes, especially from Benjamin Franklin. Franklin on thrift: “Buy what you have no need of, and before long you will sell your necessities.” Generosity enables us “to welcome the weeping widow; to provide for her a place to rest; to dry up her tears; to feed and educate her little orphans, and to put them in a way to gain an honest livelihood.”

The quotes in this book provide splendid fodder for a sermon or talk. They alone are worth the price of the book. Templeton uses them to great effect to show that in practicing thrift and generosity “a bit of fragrance always clings to the hand that gives the rose.” A life of altruism may be the only way to joy: “When sailing on the Titanic, even first class cannot get you where you want to go.” It is worth noting that Jesus said you will be more blessed if you are on the giving rather than the receiving end.

This is a wonderful little book, full of pithy observations, illuminated with many illustrations, touching the heart as well as the purse strings. It points its readers in the direction of finding peace, happiness, and freedom by giving them to other people. The author practices what he advocates. In 1995 he retired from his medical practice to direct the activities of the John Templeton Foundation, an organization whose goal is to encourage the advancement of religious and scientific enterprises.

 Reviewed by Richard Ruble, John Brown University, Siloam Springs, AR 72761.

Letters

Are Patriarchal Ages Factual or Fictional?
Richard Johnson highlights several remarkable patterns in his letter, “Patriarchal Ages in Genesis” (PSCF 56, no. 2 [2004]: 152–5), endorsing the conclusions in Carol Hill’s article, “Making Sense of the Numbers in Genesis” (PSCF 55, no. 4 [2003]: 239–51). Both writers agree that the numbers should be interpreted symbolically, not literally, evidently assuming that while God or inspired bards might contrive lovely patterns, factual ages would be more typical of documented life spans and less aligned with cultural preferences or numerical symbolism. Finding similar patterns hidden in ancient Mesopotamian texts would support the idea that Genesis has fictional and symbolic numbers, but can any evidence be found that they are factual and literal after all?

Consider remarkable patterns of numbers related to US presidents. Only eleven were elected in a year evenly divisible by twenty. Of these, all but the first two and the last two died while in office (Harrison, Lincoln, Garfield, McKinley, Harding, Roosevelt, and Kennedy), and these seven all died in a year whose final digit was 1, 3, or 5. Only one other president (Taylor) died in office (in 1850). The sum of the numbers for the month of death of the seven presidents is 49 (= 7 × 7). This is admittedly less impressive than the patterns Johnson noticed, but suppose someone living in the distant future sees patterns in a history of these presidents and concludes that the numbers must be fictional and symbolic. The idea might pass muster if no confirmation of the factuality of the death dates can be found at the time.

Gerald Aardsma may have found just the sort of confirmation of historicity that should be lacking if the Genesis numbers are fictional. Using these numbers, he constructed a chronology stretching all the way back to the creation of Adam (“Toward Unification of Pre-Flood Chronology,” The Biblical Chronologist 4, no. 4 [1998]: 278) and “Do Ice Cores Disprove Aardsma’s Flood Theory?” (PSCF 56, no. 1 [2004]: 76–7). This finding, if it holds up under closer scrutiny, suggests that the numbers are factual, at least from Noah on.

Has anyone noticed that 777, the age of Lamech, is 3333 when written as a base-6 number? How many other base-10 numbers have a similar property? Johnson said his letter did not cover all the patterns he had noticed, so there must be even more, but if the numbers are factual and Aardsma’s chronology is correct, then they will be consistent with all verifiable facts, regardless of how improbable or culturally symbolic the number patterns may be. Has any clear inconsistency ever been demonstrated?

Abraham’s age (175) heads one of Johnson’s patterned lists, but Aardsma claims secular synchronization with his period as well, citing Gen. 13:10 and a modern study of salt caves near the Dead Sea (“Mount Sodom Confirms Missing Millennium,” The Biblical Chronologist 1, no. 1 [1995]: 1–4). Although further confirmation would certainly help, Aardsma corroborates traditional acceptance of the Genesis numbers as literal, factual ages, favoring the sovereignty and creativity of God (Ps. 139:16; Isa. 40:22–24, 42:5, 46:10–11; Acts 17:24–28) as still the best explanation for patterns.
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Only One
Williams and Dickerson have not described two different systems (PSCF 56, no. 2 [June 2004]: 102–10). While their pentagram clock has only five settings, any account of prior history (e.g., # revolutions) would provide “infinite” settings as easily as the hypothetical history that supplements the “other” system. Their example of modulo 5